Application No. Applicant(s) 10/720.743 CORRELL ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Fyaminer Art Unit 2432 Venkat Perungayoor All Participants: Status of Application: (1) Venkat Perungavoor. (3) _____. (2) John Bednarz. (4) _____. Date of Interview: 30 July 2009 Time: 10:30 AM Type of Interview: □ Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: TYes TNo. If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101 Re Bilski Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/720,743

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to the Applicant that Claim 1 is not tied to a particular machine or apparatus and there is a possible 35 USC 101 Re Bilski rejection. The Examiner further indicated an opening for Examiner Amendment to recifty this issue.